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ABSTRACT

SYNTHESIS OF 9-(4-METHOXYPHENYL)-3,3,6,6-TETRA-3,4,5,6,7,9-HEXAHYDRO-2H-XANTENE-1 USING LIME AND LEMON JUICE AS THE ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY CATALYST AND ITS ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY. The 9-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3,3,6,6-tetra-3,4,5,6,7,9-hexahydro-2H-xantene-1 compound, which is addressed as compound 1 in this study, is the derivatives of 1,8-dioxo-octahydroxantene. This compound has a carbonyl group and double bond on beta carbon toward the carbonyl group and benzene ring. The presence of this chromophore helps the compound creates intermediate stabilized by resonance from its interaction with free radicals and has the potential to be an antioxidant. Compound 1 was synthesized from p-methoxybenzaldehyde and dimedone through Knoevenagel condensation reaction using an acid as catalyst. The lime and lemon juice was selected as a catalyst to support green chemistry principle. The obtained product includes white powder with a melting point of 222-224°C. The IR analysis results, GC-MS, and 1H-NMR data show that the compound structure of Compound 1. Meanwhile, the antioxidant activity test using the DPPH method reveal that Compound 1 has the antioxidant activity with IC50 of 22.74 ppm.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the compounds reported to have antioxidant activity is 1,8-dioxo-octahydroxantene (Khatab et al. 2018). Its structural framework is presented in Figure 1. The derivatives compound
of 1,8-dioxo-octahydroxantene, which has two methoxy groups bound in the benzene ring at the ortho-meta position toward the pyran ring, has the antioxidant activity with each EC50 value of 0.095 mM. Meanwhile, the derivatives compound of 1,8-dioxo-octahydroxantene with two methoxy groups bound in ortho position and one methoxy group bound at the para position toward pyran ring also shows antioxidant activity with EC50 of 0.04 mM (Zukić et al. 2018). It indicates the presence of methoxy groups in the benzene toward its antioxidant activity. The activity of 1,8-dioxo-octahydroxantene derivative compounds with only one methoxy group at the para position toward the pyran ring (Compound 1) has never been reported, so that this research investigates its antioxidant activity.

Compound 1 can be synthesized from p-anisaldehyde and dimedone through Knoevenagel condensation reaction. The acid catalyzes this reaction to protonate the carbonyl groups on p-anisaldehyde so that the nucleophile easily attacks it. In addition to antioxidant activity, the derivatives compound of 1,8-dioxo-octahydroxantene also possesses other activities, such as anticancer (Mulakayala et al. 2012), anti-microbial (Kusampally et al. 2019), anti-inflammatory, and anti-tuberculosis (Napoleon and Nawaz Khan 2014). Consequently, the researchers in the chemical field get attracted to discover the perfect catalyst for its synthesis.

Various catalysts, both homogenous and heterogeneous catalysts, have been reported to stimulate the formation of a 1,8-dioxo-octahydroxantene compound, such as CaCl2 (20 mol%) dispersed in DMSO, HBr solution (10 mol%) (Ilango et al. 2011), imidazole-1-ic-acetic acid (Nazari et al. 2014), ascorbic acid (Napoleon and Nawaz Khan 2014), alumina-sulfuric acid (Pramanik and Bhar 2012), antimony trichlorid/ SiO2 (Zhang and Liu 2008), SiO2-R- SiO3H (Mahdavinia, Bigdeli, and Hayeniaz 2009), ZrOCl2·8H2O (Mosaddegh, Islami, and Hassankhani 2012), ionic solution ([Cmmim][BF3]) (Dadhania, Patel, and Raval 2017), [Et3NH]H2SO4 (Zhou and Deng 2013), [Hmim]TFA (Dabiri, Baghbanzadeh, and Arzroomchilar 2008), and ZnAl2O4 nanoparticle (Mandilmath, Umanahesh, and Sathiyanarayanan 2014). However, those invented catalysts still have weaknesses, such as low yield, long synthesis period, excessive catalyst usage, complicated process, expensive, and not environmentally friendly. Thus, lime and lemon juice was selected as a catalyst on the synthesis of Compound 1. The lime and lemon catalysts have various components, such as citric acid, with the highest composition of 7-8% (Navarro, Sierra, and Puente 2013). These catalysts’ superiority is a low cost, widely accessible, stable, non-toxic, not quickly evaporated, and environmentally friendly.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Materials and Equipments**

The chemical materials used in this research included dimedone and p-anisaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich), ethanol p.a, methanol p.a, chloroform p.a, n-hexane, ethyl acetate, DPPH, aqaudes, aluminum foil, filter paper, lemon juice, lime juice, and aluminum plate coated by silica gel 60 GF254 with 0.25 mm thickness (thin layer chromatography).

During this research, the equipment used was a set of glasses, including a beaker, measuring cup, watch glass, erlenmeyer mL, and a set of reflux apparatus, involving two neck round bottom flask. Other than that equipment, the research was also used condenser, test tube, dropper pipette, stirring rod, petri dish, glass preparation, magnetic stirrer, spatula, stirrer hotplate, hose, filter, analytical balance Durascale with an accuracy of 0.001 g, Spectronic 20, infrared spectrophotometer (Central Laboratory of Universitas Negeri Malang), GC-MS Agilent 19091S-433 (Forensic Laboratory of Jakarta), and Spectrometer NMR Agilent DD2 500 MHz (Bandung Institute of Technology).

---

**Figure 1.** (a) The framework of the 1,8-dioxo-octahydroxantene compound; and (b) structure of Compound 1.
The Preparation of Lime and Lemon Juice

The limes were obtained from a plantation in Dau, Malang, Indonesia, while the lemons were bought in the Giant Supermarket, Dinoyo, Malang, Indonesia. They were cut into pieces and squeezed. Each of the juices was centrifuged for 15 minutes, and the filtrate was set aside with the decantation method. The attained filtrate was used as the catalyst in this study.

The Synthesis of Compound 1

A 0.280 gram (2 mmol) of dimedone was placed in a two-necked flask, then four drops (2 mmol) of \( p \)-anisaldehyde and 10 ml filtrate of lime juice (as the catalyst) were added. After that, it refluxed for four hours at 78°C temperature. The reaction results were filtered to separate the residue from the filtrate. The attained residue was dried and recrystallized until the pure compound was obtained, then the same procedure was carried out with the lemon juice catalyst. Its reaction scheme is presented in Figure 2.

The Characterization of Reaction Products

All of the reaction results were characterized. The characterizations included their melting point using Fisher Scientific 2555 Kerper Boulevard Dubuque (Serial No: 1695080502282), compounds' functional group identification using an infrared spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, IR Prestige 21), along with structure analysis using GC-MS and H-NMR spectrometer. The pure compound was mixed with dry potassium bromide (KBr), then pressed to form KBr film and it was analyzed using IR spectrophotometer. The analysis of reaction product was done utilizing Agilent 19091S-433 GC-MS, with capillary column (HP-5MS 5% phenyl methyl siloxane, 30 m, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 μm film thickness, 1 mL/min initial flow). Helium was used as the carrier gas. The initial temperature was 80 °C and the final temperature was 300 °C.

The Antioxidant Activity Test of Compound 1

A 0.001 gram of Compound 1 was dissolved into the chloroform solvent, and a stock of solutions with a different variation of 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 ppm were prepared. A 2 mL of each sample with various concentration variation was taken and added with 1 mL DPPH reagent. The negative control used in this test was sample solvent (chloroform). Each test solution was placed in a dark room at room temperature for 30 minutes. After 30 minutes, their absorbance was measured at 517 nm wavenumber using Spectronic 20 (Ammelia et al. 2019); (Iniyavan, Sarveswari, and Vijayakumar 2015). The inhibition percentage was estimated using the Formula 1.

\[
\% \text{Inhibition} = \frac{\text{Negative control absorbance} - \text{Sample absorbance}}{\text{Negative control absorbance}} \times 100\%
\]

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The reaction results between \( p \)-anisaldehyde and dimedone with lime and lemon juice, as the catalyst, is in the form of white powder. The powder was analyzed using GC-MS. The GC chromatogram from the reaction between \( p \)-anisaldehyde and dimedone with lime juice catalyst results in four peaks that signifies the formation of four compounds as shown in Figure 3. The first peak with a retention time of 3.968 minutes with an area percentage of 3.54% is
presumed to be the remains of the dimedone compound, which is not reacted. It is supported by its spectrum mass data that shows the presence of peak with m/z value 140.0, that is the molecule ion of dimedone. The second peak with 11.157 minutes retention time and 17.93% area is presumed to be the Knoevenagel condensation results, followed by double bond's dehydration and reduction, as presented in Figure 4. This is proven by the peak with m/z value 260.1, as the ion-molecule of that compound. Meanwhile, the third peak with 11.978 minutes retention time and 50.54% area is assumed to be the result of Knoevenagel condensation, followed by dehydration that has 258.1 m/z value. The fourth peak with 15.372 retention time and 28% area is presumed to be compound 1, with 380.2 m/z value.

In addition, the GC chromatogram of products from p-anisaldehyde and dimedone reaction with lemon juice catalyst also result in four peaks. The first peak is assumed to be the dimedone compound that is not reacted. It has 3.933 minutes of retention time and 7.12%. The second peak with 11.114 minutes retention time and 4.64% area is assumed to be the Knoevenagel condensation results, followed by double bond's dehydration and reduction. The third peak is presumed to be the Knoevenagel condensation results, followed by dehydration since it has 12.020 retention time and 63.88% area. The last peak with 15.397 minutes retention time and 23.34% is presumed to be Compound 1. The GC-MS analysis indicates that both lemon and lime juice can catalyze the reaction between p-anisaldehyde and dimedone. However, those catalysts can only catalyze the reaction to the formation of a Knoevenagel condensation product, followed by dehydration. The GC-MS chromatogram area percentage shows that these two catalysts remain hardly facilitate the formation of compound 1. Thus, these catalysts are less effective in catalyzing compound 1, in which the Knoevenagel condensation will be attacked again by dimedone through Michael reaction and cyclization, so that compound 1 is formed.

In addition, the lime juice is more effective than lemon in catalyzing the p-anisaldehyde and dimedone reaction. It is supported by the higher reaction product and fewer dimedone residue from the lime catalyst than reaction product and remained reactant from the lemon catalyst. The generated compound 1's area percentage from the lime catalyst is also more significant than the lemon catalyst.

Figure 3. The GC chromatogram of reaction product
The product formation is presumed to be the Knoevenagel condensation results that are followed by double bond dehydration and reduction. It indicates the presence of a redactor compound within the lime and lemon. However, the reduced product’s area percentage from the lemon juice catalyst is lower. Thus, lemon catalyst reducing ability is less than the lime catalyst. Besides, the lemon juice catalyst is more effective in synthesizing the dehydrated Knoevenagel product. However, a further study of lime and lemon content is required especially the type of organic acid and their percentage in both materials.

The GC-MS analysis reveals that compound 1 has been formed from the use of lime and lemon juice catalyst. Thus, the purification of compound 1 has been carried out through recrystallization using ethanol. The purification result is in the form of white powder with a melting point of 222-224°C. Based on its melting point, the recrystallization result can be categorized as pure compound since it has a melting range between 2°C. This pure product was analyzed using an infrared spectrophotometer and NMR spectrometer.

According to the IR spectrum of compound 1, as shown in Figure 5, there is 6 six absorption tape that matches with the absorption type of compound 1 structure. The first absorption band appears at 3003.17 cm⁻¹ wavenumbers with a sharp and robust intensity, indicating the presence of stretching vibrations of aromatic C-H bonds. The second band emerges at 2958.29-2935 cm⁻¹ wavenumber with sharp and substantial intensity, representing the stretching vibration of aliphatic C-H bonds. The third band at 1676 cm⁻¹ wavenumber with strong and sharp demonstrating the presence of the stretching vibration of the C = O bond. The fourth absorption band is observed at 16625.99 cm⁻¹ wavenumbers with sharp and robust intensity showing the existence of the stretching vibration of the C = C aromatic bond. Meanwhile, the fifth band is at 1606.7 cm⁻¹ wavenumbers with similar sharp and robust intensity, representing the C = C aliphatic bond’s stretching vibration. The last band is at 1301.95-1033.85 cm⁻¹ wavenumber with the same vigorous and sharp intensity, indicating the C-O carbonyl bond's vibrational stretch.

The H-NMR spectrum of compound 1 is illustrated in Figure 6. The singlet signal at the 4.4397 ppm (1H) chemical shift is the typical signal of 1,8-dioxo-octahydroxantene derivative compounds. This signal marks the proton of the methine group in the pyran ring with no neighboring protons so that it appears as a singlet. The singlet signals at 0.8862 ppm (6H) and 1.0171 ppm (6H) represent the proton in the four methyl groups bound on cyclohexane-2-one. The four singlet signals (8H) in the chemical shift of 2.041 ppm, 2.073 ppm, 2.2279 ppm, and 2.2603 ppm illustrate the proton in four methylene group bound on cyclohexane-2-one. Meanwhile, the singlet signal at 3.6632 ppm (3H) shows the proton in the methoxy group on the benzene ring. Simultaneously, the doublet signal at the chemical shift of 6.75775 ppm (2H) and 7.04945 ppm (2H) represent the proton within the aromatic ring.

The chemical shift data on 1H-NMR spectrum of Compound 1 was compared with the data of similar compound in recent finding, as presented in Table 1.

### Table 1. The chemical shift data of compound 1 in this study and the previous findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protons</th>
<th>Chemical shift in this study (1H-NMR, 500 MHz, CDCl₃) (ppm)</th>
<th>Chemical shift reported by (Kusampally et al. (2019) (1H-NMR, 400 MHz, CDCl₃) (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H-1 &amp; H-2</td>
<td>0.8862 (6H, s)</td>
<td>1.01 (6H, s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak</td>
<td>Assignment</td>
<td>Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-3 &amp; H-7</td>
<td>2.041 (2H, s)</td>
<td>2.21 (4H, dd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-5</td>
<td>4.4397 (1H, s)</td>
<td>4.71 (1H, s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-4 &amp; H-6</td>
<td>2.2279 (2H, s)</td>
<td>2.48 (4H, s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-8 &amp; H-9</td>
<td>2.0737 (2H, s)</td>
<td>2.0737 (2H, s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-10 &amp; H-11</td>
<td>6.75775 (2H, d)</td>
<td>6.75775 (2H, d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-12 &amp; H-13</td>
<td>7.04945 (2H, d)</td>
<td>7.04945 (2H, d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-14</td>
<td>3.6632 (3H, s)</td>
<td>3.74 (3H, s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. IR spectrum of dimedone, p-methoxybenzaldehyde, and reaction product

Figure 6. H-NMR spectrum of compound 1
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The antioxidant activity of compound 1 was investigated using the DPPH method due to its advantages, such as its simplicity, fast and uncomplicated process, and not requiring many samples (Widyasanti, Rohdiana, and Ekatama 2016). The antioxidant activity test was completed using the DPPH method by the purple color intensity changing on DPPH, which is linear to the DPPH solution concentration reduction. If the DPPH molecule takes the hydrogen atom of the presumed to be antioxidant compound, the color transforms into yellow, or the purple color intensity decreases. This color change is caused by the formation of diphenyl picryl hydrazine compounds (Molyneux 2004). This color intensity transformation can be determined by measuring its absorbance at 517 nm wavenumber. The absorbance data and inhibition percentage of compound 1 on the antioxidant activity test are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. The Absorbance Value and Inhibition Percentage of Compound 1 Concentration Variation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Concentration (ppm)</th>
<th>Absorbance</th>
<th>Inhibition Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.8899</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>22.9127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>24.9354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>28.9807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>29.2055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>33.9252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. The chart of inhibition percentage toward compound 1 concentration variation

The compound 1 concentration increase accelerates its inhibition percentage. It occurs because the higher concentration carries many more molecules that donate the H atom on the DPPH, so it completes the lack of electrons. The more stabilized DPPH compound reduces the color intensity; thereby, the attained absorbance value also decreases. The lower absorbance value indicates a higher inhibition percentage. Meanwhile, the excellent inhibition percentage represents the more significant antioxidant potential. The IC\textsubscript{50} value was decided by creating an inhibition percentage chart on compound 1 concentration to obtain the linear regression equation graph, as shown in Figure 7. By substituting the y with 50, then the obtained IC\textsubscript{50} value of compound 1 is 22.7388 ppm. Additionally, other studies have reported that a compound can be categorized as an active antioxidant compound. The IC\textsubscript{50} value is a number that represents the tested samples' concentration (ppm) and its ability to inhibit the 50% oxidation process; thus, a smaller IC\textsubscript{50} value indicates its high antioxidant activity (Zuhra, Tarigan, and Sihotang 2008).

CONCLUSION

Compound 1 has been successfully synthesized using p-anisaldehyde and dimedone using lime and lemon juice, but the reaction yield remains low. The lime and lemon juices are more useful for the catalyst of Knoevenagel condensation product formation, which is followed by dehydration on the synthesis of 1,8-dioxooctahydroxantrone derivative, particularly the Compound 1. The reaction situation's optimization should be improved to increase the
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compound 1 reaction yield, such as the amount of catalyst, temperature, and reactant mole ratio. The superiority of lime and lemon juice as a catalyst are economical and non-toxic. Compared to the other catalysts, this reported catalyst possesses a more excellent performance. Compound 1 has a robust antioxidant activity with IC50 of 22.7388 ppm to be used as a new antioxidant source. The future study should examine the toxicity of compound 1.
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